

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/611,649

Applicant(s)

UYTTENDAELE ET AL.

Examiner

Jingge Wu

Art Unit

2623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jingge Wu. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Lyon (37385). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 January 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6337708 to Furian et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant states that Fulion does not teach an indicator that is physical component of the encoded data file, and which identifies the corresponding video frame of its associated frame region data as well as the location of the frame region within that frame. Examiner disagrees. Examiner states that Fulion expressly teaches that each tile (region of frame) position (indicator) is assigned to its own multicast channel and no word "physical component" is in the claim .